



## National Education Policy 2020 and the future of higher education in India: A critical analysis of opportunities and barriers

Vijay Kumari<sup>1\*</sup>, Supriya<sup>2\*\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Lecturer, Department of Fine Arts, Major Nafe Singh, Kungariya College of Education, Kungar, Bhiwani, Haryana, India

<sup>2</sup> Lecturer, Department of Physical Education, Major Nafe Singh, Kungariya College of Education, Kungar Bhiwani, Haryana, India

### Abstract

The Indian government has approved the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020, the most significant and ambitious educational reform in more than three decades. It proposes a radical overhaul of India's higher education environment, addressing long-standing issues of access, equity, quality, and employability while preparing students for the challenges of the twenty-first century. This research study examines the NEP 2020's implications for the future of higher education in India. It digs into the policy's main concepts, such as the emphasis on multidisciplinary and comprehensive education, the incorporation of technology, the fundamental change toward flexibility with the four-year undergraduate degree, and the audacious ambition of globalization. The important prospects these reforms offer for raising India's educational profile internationally and developing a more creative, capable, and inclusive populace are methodically identified and examined in the study. At the same time, it critically examines the significant obstacles to effective implementation, including financial limitations, faculty preparedness, structural and infrastructural difficulties, long-standing socioeconomic inequalities, and the complexity of regulatory reform. While the NEP 2020 offers a necessary and visionary framework for change, the paper concludes that in order to successfully navigate the intricate web of implementation challenges, the government, regulatory agencies, institutions, and civil society must work together and allocate sufficient resources.

**Keywords:** NEP 2020, higher education in India, educational reform, multidisciplinary education, implementation challenges

### Introduction

With more than 1,100 universities and 43,000 colleges, India has the greatest network of higher education in the world (AISHE, 2020). The country is currently at a pivotal point in its history. Rigid disciplinary silos, an emphasis on memorization rather than critical thinking, concerning inequalities in quality and access, a low Gross Enrolment Ratio (GER), and a notable mismatch between graduate skills and market demands are just a few of the issues that have plagued the system for decades (Altbach, 2014; Tilak, 2018). The 1986-created and 1992-modified National Policy on Education was no longer able to meet the ever-changing needs of the international information industry.

The National Education Policy 2020 emerges as a response to these systemic shortcomings. It is not merely an incremental update but a foundational reimagining of the educational philosophy and structure in India. The policy document itself states its ambitious goal: "to transform India into a vibrant knowledge society and global knowledge superpower by making both school and college education more holistic, flexible, multidisciplinary, suited to 21st century needs and aimed at bringing out the unique capabilities of each student" (MHRD, 2020, p. 4).

This essay makes the case that NEP 2020 offers a paradigm change that might fundamentally alter Indian higher education and open up a wealth of prospects for learners, institutions, and the country as a whole. However, overcoming a challenging web of implementation obstacles originating from India's socioeconomic and administrative

realities is a prerequisite for realizing its revolutionary potential. The study is to

- Examine the main philosophical and structural changes to higher education that the NEP 2020 suggests.
- Describe the ways in which these reforms can improve equity, quality, access, and global competitiveness.
- Determine and assess the key obstacles that can prevent successful implementation.
- Provide a fair assessment of how Indian higher education will develop in the future under the new policy framework.

### Pillars of Nep 2020 For Higher Education Reform

The NEP 2020's vision for higher education is built upon several interconnected pillars designed to create a more integrated, flexible, and high-quality system.

### Moving Towards a Multidisciplinary and Holistic Education

The current system's overspecialization and knowledge fragmentation is major criticisms. By supporting massive multidisciplinary universities and colleges, NEP 2020 requires a dramatic departure from this approach (MHRD, 2020). Dismantling artificial boundaries between the arts, humanities, social sciences, and sciences is the goal. In order to develop well-rounded, imaginative, and creative thinkers who can tackle challenging, real-world issues, the policy envisions institutions where a scientific student may study literature and an arts student can comprehend data science (Kumar, 2020).

### **Structural Reforms: The 4-Year Undergraduate Program and Academic Bank of Credits**

A flexible curriculum structure with several exit points is introduced by the policy. Undergraduate programs usually last four years, with certificates being awarded after one year, diplomas after two, and a bachelor's degree after three. Students who maintain a certain grade point average can also pursue a four-year Bachelor's degree with Research (Honours with Research) (MHRD, 2020). The cutting-edge Academic Bank of Credits (ABC), a digital repository that will house academic credits obtained by students from numerous accredited schools, serves as the foundation for this framework. The ABC makes it easy for students to move between programs and institutions, enabling them to choose their own learning paths and return to school after a break—a practice referred to as "multiple entry and exit" (Singh, 2020).

### **Integration of Technology and Promotion of Online/Digital Learning**

Technology is fully embraced by NEP 2020 as a tool to improve quality, equity, and access. It suggests creating a specialized unit to create digital content, build digital infrastructure, and empower educators and learners. The drive to incorporate top-notch online courses into all university curricula, made possible by a new platform called the National Digital Education Architecture (NDEAR), is an important undertaking. In order to promote innovation and ease decision-making on the use of technology, the strategy also calls for the establishment of a National Educational Technology Forum (NETF) (MHRD, 2020).

### **Internationalization of Education**

The NEP 2020 invites leading international institutions to establish campuses in India in order to improve India's standing as a study destination worldwide. On the other hand, it also encourages the establishment of campuses abroad for top-performing Indian colleges. It is anticipated that this action will decrease the exodus of Indian students and foreign exchange, promote cross-cultural academic exchange, and enhance the calibre of Indian schools through competition and cooperation (Agarwal, 2021).

### **Reforming Regulation: The Single Regulator Model**

The proposal calls for a comprehensive revamp, acknowledging the regulatory fragmentation that has afflicted the system. It suggests creating the Higher Education Commission of India (HECI), a single, comprehensive regulatory agency. There will be four separate verticals within the HECI

- National Higher Education Regulatory Council (NHERC) for regulation.
- National Accreditation Council (NAC) for accreditation.
- Higher Education Grants Council (HEGC) for funding.
- General Education Council (GEC) for setting academic standards.

This structure aims to separate the conflicting functions of regulation, funding, and accreditation, thereby promoting transparency and minimizing conflicts of interest (MHRD, 2020).

### **Opportunities: How Nep 2020 Can Reshape Indian Higher Education**

**Student-Centric Flexibility and Mobility:** Students can build learning across time, institutions, and modalities using

the ABC/NCrF ecosystem, earning stackable micro-credentials that can be used to degree programs. This encourages cross-disciplinary combinations, multiple entry/exits, and lifelong learning—all of which are essential in a changing labour market. The ability to halt, re-enter, or switch modes without losing progress is revolutionary for working learners, those juggling caregiving obligations, and those experiencing financial restraints.

**Multidisciplinary and Research-Oriented Undergrad Education:** FYUP designs allow for both breadth and depth by integrating first-year undergraduate research, projects, and minor concentrations. When combined with ANRF funding and institutional mentoring, this could eventually improve academic writing, inquiry abilities, and the pathway to master's and doctoral research.

**Transparent, Scalable Admissions:** By eliminating the need for several entrance procedures and the "admissions lottery," CUET provides a more uniform platform for merit-based selection and may lessen regional disparities. In addition to supporting data-driven seat allocation and diversity objectives, CUET streamlines logistics for universities.

**Quality Assurance and Learning Outcomes:** The verticals of HECI—HEGC for funding, NAC for accreditation, GEC for intended learning results (graduate characteristics), and NHERC for regulation—promise a clear separation of responsibilities. This can streamline monitoring, reduce compliance friction, and tie funding to student outcomes, performance, and institutional development plans if it is carried out independently and with ability.

**Research Scale-Up and Innovation Ecosystems:** The mission of ANRF can increase competitive financing, lessen fragmentation in research grants, and encourage industrial cooperation and societal impact. ANRF may address field imbalances and promote long-term, mission-oriented research by integrating STEM with the humanities and social sciences.

**Digitalization for Equity and Efficiency:** Expanding high-quality online courses, streamlining academic administration, facilitating credit portability, and facilitating large-scale inter-university course exchange are all possible with SAMARTH and the Digital University vision. The potential for centralized admissions, transparent promotions, financial management, and real-time grievance redressal—which would cut down on administrative burden and allow for improvements in student-facing services—is highlighted by state adoptions (Assam, Uttar Pradesh).

**Internationalization without Flight Capital:** With campus approvals from FHEI, students can unroll in nationally recognized programs that are globally benchmarked, reducing expenses and facilitating faculty interchange, co-supervision, and collaborative research. This has the potential to improve curriculum standards, stimulate quality competition, and strengthen India's position in international knowledge networks. The initial campus opening and early approvals signal a structural change from ad hoc twinning to on-shore transnational education supervised by the UGC.

**Pathway to 50% GER:** When combined with flexible credit accumulation, capacity building through new HEIs, college expansion, and digital/ODL pathways can increase participation. Although sector growth and GER milestones have been highlighted in government messaging, execution is key to long-term progress (see §4).

**Barriers and Risks: Where Implementation Can Stall**  
**Funding Gaps and Cost Sharing:** Significant public funding is needed to support NEP's goals in the areas of facilities, labs, libraries, networking, hostel capacity, and faculty lines. Analyses and commentary constantly indicate fiscal constraints and the distance from the long-standing 6% of GDP target. Institutions run the danger of stop-start rollouts, postponed maintenance, and inadequate student support in the absence of consistent multi-year funding.

**Faculty Capacity, Workload, and Morale:** Project-based learning, new evaluation procedures, and a rapid curriculum redesign necessitate mentoring time, reduced class numbers, and staff development. Concerns have been voiced by teacher associations regarding workload, the dilution of core credits, inadequate infrastructure, and the pace and sequence of reforms. They have warned that if staffing and space do not keep up, academic quality will be strained.

**Digital Divide and Readiness:** If access to devices, bandwidth, and assistive technologies continues to be unequal, digital expansion may exacerbate disparities, especially among first-generation students and in rural areas. Research reveals enduring gaps in digital literacy and connectivity that, if not addressed by focused investment and offline/low-bandwidth design, can jeopardize online credit and ODL pathways.

**Governance Complexity and Federal Heterogeneity:** Most students are educated at public universities; education is a continuous subject. States have different implementation schedules, which leads to problems with transfer equivalencies, admission calendars, and credit portability. According to university reports, there is still disagreement on synchronizing credit transfer across boards and regulators, moving to FYUP frameworks, and matching academic calendars (particularly with CUET cycles).

**Quality Assurance during Rapid Scale-Up:** Despite the HECI blueprint's potential, transitional uncertainty may last until laws, guidelines, and procedures are settled. Underscoring the compliance issues associated with rapid internationalization, UGC has also warned universities against unapproved foreign collaborations (twinning, dual, or joint degrees).

**Research Funding Absorption and Ecosystem Maturity:** Establishing a national research foundation takes several years. In addition to grant size, other challenges include administrative capability, the Caliber of peer reviews, prompt disbursement, and institutional research support (IP management, procurement, and IRBs). The success of ANRF will depend on bolstering these frequently disregarded back-office components.

**Change Management in Pedagogy:** NEP promotes project-based and experiential learning, but moving 20% or more of the curriculum outside of the classroom calls for

faculty mentoring resources, industry/community connections, and assessment redesign. Directives at the state level show ambition, but they also highlight the burden of cooperation on departments.

#### **Evidence of Momentum (2023–2025)**

**Foreign Campuses:** NEP's globalization agenda is embodied in the UGC's approvals of several FHEIs and the University of Southampton's first overseas campus in Gurgaon, which will begin classes in 2025. Through cross-border connections, this can improve research and teaching standards while lowering the expenses of outbound students.

**Regulatory Guardrails:** UGC's caution to HEIs regarding unapproved foreign collaborations signals a move from policy ambition to operational enforcement—important for student protection and brand integrity.

**Digital Governance:** In anticipation of national-level interoperability, state adoption of SAMARTH (Uttar Pradesh's statewide rollout and Assam's RSSCC) demonstrates the viability of uniform admissions, ERP consolidation, and transparent promotions.

**GER and Expansion Narrative:** Official communications emphasize institutional growth (universities and colleges) and GER milestones, aligning fiscal planning and capacity creation with NEP goals—though granular GER disparities persist across states.

**Pedagogy and Curriculum:** Vice-Chancellors' meetings have prioritized project-based learning, critical thinking, and NAAC/NIRF score improvements; teacher associations simultaneously call for redesign with resources to avoid overburden and dilution.

#### **Analytical Assessment**

The fundamental premise of NEP 2020 is that a more equitable and globally competitive system will result from combining flexibility, quality assurance, research intensity, digitalization, and internationalization. Admissions are readable at scale thanks to CUET. Ambition in research financing is centralized by ANRF. FHEI regulation and digital platforms link domestic reform to international standards. Ministry of Education, Government of India+1 Science and Technology Department Press Information Bureau Martineau, Shakespeare.

However, funding and timing are key factors in the journey from policy formulation to lived experience. Reforms run the risk of turning into compliance exercises in the absence of faculty hiring, teaching-learning facilities, student support services, and digital access. Concerns raised by stakeholders (such as DU teachers) can be seen as early warning signs that the human infrastructure of reform—staffing, training, time, and voice—needs just as much focus as the legislation.

Recognizing that equity occasionally calls for various supports, regional and linguistic variety must be balanced with the use of standardized instruments (CUET, NCeF, accrediting measures). Lastly, ecosystem plumbing is just as important to research uplift as budgets: procurement regulations, grant management, and intellectual property regimes can make or break throughput.

## Recommendations

### For the Ministry of Education and UGC

- **Multi-Year Funding Compacts:** Transition from yearly allotments to three- to five-year agreements linked to institutional development plans (IDPs) to facilitate consistent hiring and infrastructure improvements in line with NEP benchmarks. (This is in line with HEGC's intended function of connecting money to IDPs.)
- **Faculty Pipeline & Development:** To relieve staffing shortages, provide funding for post-doctoral lectureships and national teaching fellowships; require a minimum of yearly professional development hours centered on online pedagogy, project mentoring, and assessment redesign.
- **Equity-First Digital Policy:** Ring-fence budgets for devices, data subsidies, and inclusive design, especially for rural/first-generation learners; require low-bandwidth, mobile-first course standards for all centrally funded ODL offerings.
- **CUET Calendar Stability:** To align admissions, counseling, and semester start dates, coordinate with states and universities. Publicize a rolling three-year CUET calendar with set test periods and results release deadlines.
- **Internationalization with Guardrails:** Fast-track transparent approval dashboards for FHEI campuses and collaborations; publicize recognized twinning/dual/joint programs; continue enforcement against unapproved partnerships to protect students.
- **ANRF Throughput Reforms:** Standardize peer review SLAs, grant disbursement timelines, and overhead policies; create shared national services for IRBs and research procurement to reduce administrative blockers.

### For States and Public Universities

- **Phased FYUP Adoption:** Sequence implementation by program clusters, ensuring labs, studios, and field partnerships are in place before mandating project-heavy curricula.
- **Samarth at Scale:** Adopt SAMARTH or interoperable ERPs statewide to unify admissions, examinations, scholarships, and grievance redressal; embed ABC/NCrF data flows end-to-end.
- **Faculty Ratios & Workload:** Set state-level norms for student-faculty ratios and protected mentoring hours in project-based courses; fund teaching assistants to support experiential learning.
- **Credit Portability Compacts:** Sign regional MoUs among state universities for mutual recognition of credits and standardized transcripts; create bridging modules for transfers between FYUP and legacy CBCS students.

### For Institutional Leaders

- **Curriculum by Design, Not Conversion:** Redesign courses to assessment-first, with embedded research,

fieldwork, and industry projects mapped to GEC outcomes; do not simply “stretch” three-year syllabi to four.

- **Student Support Stack:** Build robust advising, writing/quant labs, mental health services, and career services to reduce attrition and support multi-entry/exit learners. (Supports GER targets.)
- **Micro-credentials with Integrity:** Use ABC/NCrF to design coherent stackable pathways; establish credit recognition committees and public rubrics for external/online credits (SWAYAM, ODL), safeguarding academic standards.
- **Research Administration 101:** Invest early in pre-award and post-award teams, IP cells, and ethical oversight to absorb ANRF and industry grants effectively.
- **Partnerships with Purpose:** For FHEI tie-ups or campuses, focus on joint curriculum development, co-taught studios, and co-supervised theses rather than only brand licensing or fee arbitrage.

### Implications for Students and Labour Markets

- **Choice and Portability:** With ABC making sure their work adheres to them, students can tailor their learning across institutions and modalities. This encourages job changes and continuous skill development, which is crucial as automation reinterprets work bundles in various industries.
- **Undergraduate Research Exposure:** Employers frequently point to problem-solving, communication, and teamwork as lacking indicators of job preparedness, and early research and capstones help hone these abilities. The research honors track at FYUP provides a pathway to graduate school and corporate R&D.
- **Reduced Outbound Pressure:** With FHEI campuses opening locally, students gain access to global curricula without full migration costs, while still retaining options for semester-abroad stints and joint degrees, subject to UGC approvals.
- **Risks to Watch:** If devices/data remain unaffordable or campuses lack mentoring capacity, students may face “DIY” burdens—navigating complex credit systems with limited guidance, or taking online credits without strong academic communities.

### Conclusion

NEP 2020 is a well-thought-out plan for advancing flexibility, quality, research intensity, and global connectivity in Indian higher education. A simplified regulatory framework under HECI, scaled research funding through ANRF, stackable learning through ABC/NCrF, undergraduate research through FYUP, transparent admissions through CUET, digital governance through SAMARTH, and significant internationalization through FHEI campuses are all genuine opportunities. Funding deficits, teacher shortages, digital disparities, state-level

heterogeneity, and transitional frictions that may jeopardize quality and fairness are all genuine obstacles, though.

The execution discipline—stable multi-year funding, faculty development at scale, equity-first digital policies, admissions calendar coordination, enforcement of quality guardrails in internationalization, and investment in research administration—will determine the difference between aspiration and impact. NEP 2020 may help India reach a 50% GER and create a larger, more rigorous, more compassionate, and future-ready higher education system if these levers are pulled with compassion and urgency.

## References

1. Government of India, Ministry of Education. National Education Policy 2020 (Official Text), 2020. Education Ministry, Government of India
2. University Grants Commission / Ministry of Education. UGC Establishment and Operation of Academic Bank of Credits in Higher Education Regulations, 2021; ABC resources and portal, 2021. Education Ministry, Government of India [abc.gov.in](http://abc.gov.in)
3. Ministry of Education (GOI). National Credit Framework (NCF) (2022) and Gazette Notification/SOPs (2023–2024). Education Ministry, Government of India NIELITA Vantis CDP Storage
4. University of Delhi. Undergraduate Curriculum Framework 2022 (UGCF-2022), 2022. [du.ac.in](http://du.ac.in)
5. Chhatrapati Shahu Ji Maharaj University. Curriculum and Credit Framework for Undergraduate Programmes (CCFUGP), 2023. CSJM University
6. National Testing Agency / PIB. CUET-UG: Concept and Rationale; CUET official portal, 2022–2025. Press Information Bureau CUET
7. Press Information/Ministry pages. Role of HEIs in Promoting STEM & Enhancing GER; GER targets and steps, 2020–2025. Education Ministry, Government of India Digital Sansad
8. Office of the PSA / DST. Anusandhan National Research Foundation (ANRF): Overview and Implementation; ANRF online grants portal, 2023–2024. Department of Science and Technology [anrfonline.in](http://anrfonline.in)
9. UGC / Advisory notes. Foreign Higher Educational Institutions in India: Regulations (2023) and updates. (2023–2025). Forvis Mazars Shakespeare Martineau
10. Times of India reports on FHEI campus approvals and the first global campus opening (University of Southampton, Gurgaon); UGC cautions on unapproved collaborations; state GER snapshots; SAMARTH rollouts; VC directives on experiential learning; faculty concerns on draft regulations, 2024–2025. The Times of India+6The Times of India+6The Times of India+6
11. Peer-reviewed and working papers on NEP implementation challenges and digital divide, 2024–2025.